UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,219	12/19/2005	Conrad Thomas	PNL21504	4060	
24257 7590 09/27/2007 STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP 1615 L STREET, NW SUITE 850 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER		
			AMORES,	AMORES, KAREN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/561,219	THOMAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karen J. Amores	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the provision of the mailing period for reply will, by statute that the provision of the mailing period for reply will, by statute that the months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  I'HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	December 2005.	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the specificant may be specified to by the specificant may be specified to by the specificant may be specified to by the specified to be specified t	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Ar  iority documents have been  eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 3616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group I, drawn to figures 2 - 6 and 15;

Group II, drawn to figures 7 –9;

Group III, drawn to figures 10 and 11; and

Group IV, drawn to figures 13 - 14.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: The following claim(s) are generic: Currently, claims 1-7 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,219

Art Unit: 3616

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 3. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen J. Amores whose telephone number is (571)-272-6212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,219

Art Unit: 3616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571)-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karen J. Amores Examiner Art Unit 3616

KJA 17 September 2007

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600